

SQL: Queries, Programming, Triggers

Chapter 5

Example Instances

- We will use these instances of the Sailors and Reserves relations in our examples.
- If the key for the Reserves relation contained only the attributes *sid* and *bid*, how would the semantics differ?

							\sim
R1		sid	bid		day		
ances		22	10	1	10/1	0/96	/
		58	10	3	11/12/96		
S1	sid	snan	ne	rating		age	
	22	dustin		7		45.0	
	31	lubb	er	8	3	55.5	
	58	rusty		10		35.0	
<i>S</i> 2	sid	sname		rat	ing	age	
	28	yupp)y		9	35.0	
	31	lubb	er	8	8	55.5	
	44	guppy		5		35.0	
	58	rusty			10	35.0	

Basic SQL Query SELECT [DISTINCT] target-list FROM relation-list WHERE qualification

- * <u>relation-list</u> A list of relation names (possibly with a *range-variable* after each name).
- target-list A list of attributes of relations in relation-list
- ♦ *qualification* Comparisons (Attr *op* const or Attr1 *op* Attr2, where *op* is one of <, >, =, ≤, ≥, ≠) combined using AND, OR and NOT.
- DISTINCT is an optional keyword indicating that the answer should not contain duplicates. Default is that duplicates are <u>not</u> eliminated!


Conceptual Evaluation Strategy

- Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
 - Compute the cross-product of *relation-list*.
 - Discard resulting tuples if they fail *qualifications*.
 - Delete attributes that are not in *target-list*.
 - If **DISTINCT** is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find more efficient strategies to compute *the same answers*.

Example of Conceptual Evaluation

SELECT S.snameFROM Sailors S, Reserves RWHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=103

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96



A Note on Range Variables


- Really needed only if the same relation appears twice in the FROM clause. The previous query can also be written as:
 - SELECT S.snameFROM Sailors S, Reserves RWHERE S.sid=R.sid AND bid=103
- OR SELECT sname FROM Sailors, Reserves WHERE Sailors.sid=Reserves.sid AND bid=103

It is good style, however, to use range variables always!

Find sailors who've reserved at least one boat

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid

- Would adding DISTINCT to this query make a difference?
- What is the effect of replacing S.sid by S.sname in the SELECT clause? Would adding DISTINCT to this variant of the query make a difference?



Expressions and Strings

SELECT S.age, age1=S.age-5, 2*S.age AS age2 FROM Sailors S WHERE S.sname LIKE 'B_%B'

- Illustrates use of arithmetic expressions and string pattern matching: *Find triples (of ages of sailors and two fields defined by expressions) for sailors whose names begin and end with B and contain at least three characters.*
- * AS and = are two ways to name fields in result.
- * LIKE is used for string matching. `_' stands for any one character and `%' stands for 0 or more arbitrary characters.

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

- UNION: Can be used to compute the union of any two *union-compatible* sets of tuples (which are themselves the result of SQL queries).
- If we replace OR by AND in the first version, what do we get?
- Also available: EXCEPT (What do we get if we replace UNION by EXCEPT?)

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND (B.color= 'red' OR B.color= 'green'

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red' UNION SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'green'

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved a red <u>and</u> a green boat

 INTERSECT: Can be used to compute the intersection of any two *unioncompatible* sets of tuples.

- Included in the SQL/92 standard, but some systems don't support it.
- Contrast symmetry of the UNION and INTERSECT queries with how much the other versions differ.

SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B1, Reserves R1, Boats B2, Reserves R2
WHERE S.sid=R1.sid AND R1.bid=B1.bid
AND S.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid
AND (B1.color= 'red' AND B2.color= 'green'

SELECT S.sid Key field! FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red' INTERSECT SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'green'

Nested Queries


Find names of sailors who 've reserved boat #103: SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT R.sid FROM Reserves R

WHERE R.bid=103)

A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses.)

✤ To find sailors who' ve not reserved #103, use NOT IN.

To understand semantics of nested queries, think of a <u>nested loops</u> evaluation: For each Sailors tuple, check the qualification by computing the subquery.



* EXISTS is another set comparison operator, like IN.

- If UNIQUE is used, and * is replaced by *R.bid*, finds sailors with at most one reservation for boat #103.
 (UNIQUE checks for duplicate tuples; * denotes all attributes. Why do we have to replace * by *R.bid*?)
- Illustrates why, in general, subquery must be recomputed for each Sailors tuple.

More on Set-Comparison Operators

- ✤ We' ve already seen IN, EXISTS and UNIQUE. Can also use NOT IN, NOT EXISTS and NOT UNIQUE.
- Find sailors whose rating is greater than that of some sailor called Horatio:

SELECT * FROM Sailors S WHERE S.rating > ANY (SELECT S2.rating FROM Sailors S2 WHERE S2.sname= 'Horatio')

```
Rewriting INTERSECT Queries Using In
    Find sid's of sailors who've reserved both a red and a green boat:
SELECT S.sid
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red'
       AND S.sid IN (SELECT S2.sid
                    FROM Sailors S2, Boats B2, Reserves R2
                    WHERE S2.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid
                            AND B2.color='green')
```

Similarly, EXCEPT queries re-written using NOT IN.

To find *names* (not *sid*'s) of Sailors who' ve reserved both red and green boats, just replace *S.sid* by *S.sname* in SELECT clause. (What about INTERSECT query?)

SELECT S.sname (1)FROM Sailors S Division in SQL WHERE NOT EXISTS ((SELECT B.bid FROM Boats B) Find sailors who' ve reserved all boats. EXCEPT (SELECT R.bid ✤ Let's do it the hard FROM Reserves R WHERE R.sid=S.sid)) way, without EXCEPT: (2) SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid FROM Boats B WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.bid Sailors S such that ... FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=B.bid there is no boat B without ... AND R.sid=S.sid)) a Reserves tuple showing S reserved B Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

```
SELECT COUNT (*)<br/>FROM Sailors SSELECT S.sname<br/>FROM Sailors SSELECT AVG (S.age)<br/>FROM Sailors SSELECT MAX(S2.rating)<br/>FROM Sailors SSELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating)SELECT AVG (DISTINCT S.age)<br/>FROM Sailors SSELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating)SELECT AVG (DISTINCT S.age)<br/>FROM Sailors S
```

COUNT (*)

MAX (A)

MIN (A)

WHERE S.rating=10

COUNT ([DISTINC]

SUM ([DISTINCT]]

AVG ([DISTINCT] A)

WHERE S.sname= 'Bob'

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Aggregate Operators

Significant extension of

relational algebra.

16


Find name and age of the oldest sailor(s)

- The first query is illegal! (We'll look into the reason a bit later, when we discuss GROUP BY.)
- The third query is equivalent to the second query, and is allowed in the SQL/92 standard, but is not supported in some systems.

SELECT S.sname, MAX (S.age) FROM Sailors S

SELECT S.sname, S.age FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age = (SELECT MAX (S2.age) FROM Sailors S2)

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE (SELECT MAX (S2.age)
FROM Sailors S2)
= S.age
```



GROUP BY and HAVING

- So far, we've applied aggregate operators to all (qualifying) tuples. Sometimes, we want to apply them to each of several *groups* of tuples.
- Consider: Find the age of the youngest sailor for each rating level.
 - In general, we don't know how many rating levels exist, and what the rating values for these levels are!
 - Suppose we know that rating values go from 1 to 10; we can write 10 queries that look like this (!):

	SELECT MIN (S.age)		
For <i>i</i> = 1, 2,, 10:	FROM Sailors S		
Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and	$_{d J}$. WHERE S.rating = i		

Queries With GROUP BY and HAVING

SELECT[DISTINCT] target-listFROMrelation-listWHEREqualificationGROUP BYgrouping-listHAVINGgroup-qualification

- The target-list contains (i) attribute names (ii) terms with aggregate operations (e.g., MIN (S.age)).
 - The <u>attribute list (i)</u> must be a subset of *grouping-list*. Intuitively, each answer tuple corresponds to a *group*, and these attributes must have a single value per group. (A *group* is a set of tuples that have the same value for all attributes in *grouping-list*.)

Conceptual Evaluation

- * The cross-product of *relation-list* is computed, tuples that fail *qualification* are discarded, `*unnecessary*' fields are deleted, and the remaining tuples are partitioned into groups by the value of attributes in *grouping-list*.
- The group-qualification is then applied to eliminate some groups. Expressions in group-qualification must have a <u>single value per group</u>!
 - In effect, an attribute in *group-qualification* that is not an argument of an aggregate op also appears in *grouping-list*. (SQL does not exploit primary key semantics here!)

* to menages were tuple is generated per qualifying group.20

Find the age of the youngest sailor with age ≥ 18 , for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors

SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age >= 18 GROUP BY S.rating HAVING COUNT (*) > 1

- Only S.rating and S.age are mentioned in the SELECT, GROUP BY or HAVING clauses; other attributes `unnecessary'.
- 2nd column of result is unnamed. (Use AS to name it.)

sid	sname	e	rating	age
22	dustin	dustin		45.0
31	lubbe	lubber		55.5
71	zorba	zorba		16.0
64	horati	horatio		35.0
29	brutus	brutus		33.0
58	rusty		10	35.0
rating	, age			
1	33.0			
7	45.0	rating		g
7	35.0	7		35.0
8	55.5			

35.0

Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Answer relation

Find the age of the youngest sailor with age > 18, for each rating with at least 2 sailors (of any age) SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age > 18 GROUP BY S.rating HAVING 1 < (SELECT COUNT (*) FROM Sailors S2 WHERE S.rating=S2.rating)

- Shows HAVING clause can also contain a subquery.
- Compare this with the query where we considered only ratings with 2 sailors over 18!
- What if HAVING clause is replaced by:
 - HAVING COUNT(*) >1

For each red boat, find the number of reservations for this boat

SELECT B.bid, COUNT (*) AS scount FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red' GROUP BY B.bid

- * Grouping over a join of three relations.
- What do we get if we remove B.color= 'red' from the WHERE clause and add a HAVING clause with this condition?
- What if we drop Sailors and the condition involving S.sid?

Find those ratings for which the average age is the minimum over all ratings

* Aggregate operations cannot be nested! WRONG: SELECT S.rating FROM Sailors S WHERE S.age = (SELECT MIN (AVG (S2.age)) FROM Sailors S2)

* Correct solution (in SQL/92):

SELECT Temp.rating, Temp.avgage FROM (SELECT S.rating, AVG (S.age) AS avgage FROM Sailors S GROUP BY S.rating) AS Temp WHERE Temp.avgage = (SELECT MIN (Temp.avgage) FROM Temp)


- Field values in a tuple are sometimes *unknown* (e.g., a rating has not been assigned) or *inapplicable* (e.g., no spouse's name).
 - SQL provides a special value <u>*null*</u> for such situations.

Null Values

* The presence of *null* complicates many issues. E.g.:

- Special operators needed to check if value is/is not *null*.
- Is *rating>8* true or false when *rating* is equal to *null*? What about AND, OR and NOT connectives?
- We need a <u>3-valued logic</u> (true, false and *unknown*).
- Meaning of constructs must be defined carefully. (e.g., WHERE clause eliminates rows that don't evaluate to true.)

New operators (in particular, *outer joins*) possible/needed.
 Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke



Integrity Constraints (Review)

- An IC describes conditions that every *legal instance* of a relation must satisfy.
 - Inserts/deletes/updates that violate IC's are disallowed.
 - Can be used to ensure application semantics (e.g., *sid* is a key), or prevent inconsistencies (e.g., *sname* has to be a string, *age* must be < 200)
- * <u>Types of IC's</u>: Domain constraints, primary key constraints, foreign key constraints, general constraints.
 - Domain constraints: Field values must be of right type. Always enforced.

CREATE TABLE Sailors (sid INTEGER, General Constraints sname CHAR(10), rating INTEGER, age REAL, ✤ Useful when PRIMARY KEY (sid), CHECK (rating >= 1 more general ICs than keys AND rating ≤ 10) CREATE TABLE Reserves are involved. (sname CHAR(10), Can use queries bid INTEGER, to express day DATE, constraint. PRIMARY KEY (bid,day), Constraints can **CONSTRAINT** noInterlakeRes be named. CHECK (`Interlake' <> (SELECT B.bname FROM Boats B WHERE B.bid=bid))) Database Management Systems 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke 27

Constraints Over Multiple Relations


- Awkward and wrong!
- If Sailors is empty, the number of Boats tuples can be anything!
- CREATE TABLE Sailors (sid INTEGER, sname CHAR(10), rating INTEGER, age REAL, PRIMARY KEY (sid), CHECK ((SELECT COUNT (S.sid) FROM Sailors S) + (SELECT COUNT (B.bid) FROM Boats B) < 100
- ASSERTION is the right solution; not associated with either table.

CREATE ASSERTION smallClub

CHECK


((SELECT COUNT (S.sid) FROM Sailors S) + (SELECT COUNT (B.bid) FROM Boats B) < 100

 \bigcirc



Triggers

- Trigger: procedure that starts automatically if specified changes occur to the DBMS
- Three parts:
 - Event (activates the trigger)
 - Condition (tests whether the triggers should run)
 - Action (what happens if the trigger runs)



Triggers: Example-1 (SQL:1999)

CREATE TRIGGER youngSailorUpdate AFTER INSERT ON SAILORS REFERENCING NEW TABLE NewSailors FOR EACH STATEMENT INSERT

INTO YoungSailors(sid, name, age, rating) SELECT sid, name, age, rating FROM NewSailors N WHERE N.age <= 18


Syntax

CREATE TRIGGER <id>
{BEFORE | AFTER | INSTEAD OF} <trigger event>
ON
REFERENCING <reference>
FOR EACH { ROW | STATEMENT}
WHEN (<condition>)
<SQL procedure statement>



The set of rows inserted/updated (as they are after the update)

The set of rows deleted/updated (as they were before the update)



Triggers – example 2


* Simulating referential integrity constraint maintenance: what if you wanted to have the following but SQL did not provide syntax for it

table Enrolment(
 sid foreign key references Student on delete cascade,
 cid ...
 ...)

CREATE TRIGGER StudentDelete1 AFTER DELETE ON Students REFERENCING OLD ROW AS GoneStdnt FOR EACH ROW DELETE FROM Enrolement E

WHERE E.sid = GoneStdnt.sid


CREATE TRIGGER StudentDelete2 AFTER DELETE ON Students REFERENCING OLD TABLE AS StudentsGone FOR EACH STATEMENT DELETE FROM Enrolement E WHERE E.sid IN (SEL sid FROM StudentsGone)



Triggers: Example-3 (SQL:1999)


CREATE TRIGGER init_count BEFORE INSERT ON Students DECLARE count INTEGER; BEGIN count := 0; END

CREATE TRIGGER incr_count AFTER INSERT ON Students FOR EACH ROW WHEN (new.age < 18) BEGIN count := count + 1; END



Summary

- SQL was an important factor in the early acceptance of the relational model; more natural than earlier, procedural query languages.
- Relationally complete; in fact, significantly more expressive power than relational algebra.
- Even queries that can be expressed in RA can often be expressed more naturally in SQL.
- Many alternative ways to write a query; optimizer should look for most efficient evaluation plan.
 - In practice, users need to be aware of how queries are optimized and evaluated for best results.



Summary (Contd.)

- NULL for unknown field values brings many complications
- SQL allows specification of rich integrity constraints
- * Triggers respond to changes in the database