

1. Consider the following linear system:

$$\ddot{y}(t) + 4\dot{y}(t) + 3y(t) = \dot{f}(t) + 2f(t)$$

Assuming zero-initial conditions, show that the following three input signals produce the indicated outputs expressed in the s -domain by:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) = \delta(t) &\Rightarrow Y(s) = \frac{s+2}{(s+1)(s+3)} = \frac{1/2}{s+1} + \frac{1/2}{s+3} \\ f(t) = u(t) &\Rightarrow Y(s) = \frac{s+2}{s(s+1)(s+3)} = \frac{2/3}{s} - \frac{1/2}{s+1} - \frac{1/6}{s+3} \\ f(t) = e^{-4t}u(t) &\Rightarrow Y(s) = \frac{s+2}{(s+4)(s+1)(s+3)} = -\frac{2/3}{s+4} + \frac{1/6}{s+1} + \frac{1/2}{s+3} \end{aligned}$$

For each case, verify the indicated partial fraction expansion coefficients using the function:

$$[r, p, k] = \text{residue}(\text{num}, \text{den});$$

Then, determine the analytical expressions for the time-domain output signals $y(t)$ and plot them versus t in the range $0 \leq t \leq 10$.

2. A signal $f(t)$ consists of a sinusoid plus random noise:

$$f(t) = \sin(\omega_0 t) + v(t) \quad (1)$$

It is desired to process $f(t)$ through a bandpass filter $H(s)$ that lets the sinusoid pass through unchanged, while it substantially attenuates the noise component, so that the output signal would have the form:

$$y(t) = \sin(\omega_0 t) + y_v(t) \quad (2)$$

where $y_v(t)$ denotes the filtered noise, which must be much weaker than the input noise, i.e., the RMS value of $y_v(t)$ must be much less than the RMS value of $v(t)$, or in terms of their variances, $\sigma_{y_v}^2 \ll \sigma_v^2$. Such a bandpass filter can be designed to have transfer function and I/O differential equation:

$$H(s) = \frac{\alpha s}{s^2 + \alpha s + \omega_0^2} \Leftrightarrow \ddot{y}(t) + \alpha \dot{y}(t) + \omega_0^2 y(t) = \alpha f(t) \quad (3)$$

This is complementary to the notch filter discussed in lab-2. Its magnitude frequency response, obtained by setting $s = j\omega$, is given by:

$$|H(\omega)|^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \omega^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^2 + \alpha^2 \omega^2} \quad (4)$$

It has a narrow peak centered at ω_0 and unity gain there, i.e., $H(\omega_0) = 1$. Its 3-dB width is $\Delta\omega = \alpha$ (see graphs at end). Its impulse response is given by:

$$h(t) = \alpha e^{-\alpha t/2} [\cos(\omega_r t) - \frac{\alpha}{2\omega_r} \sin(\omega_r t)] u(t), \quad \omega_r = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}} \quad (5)$$

Assuming the noise component to be white noise with broadband flat spectrum, the narrow peak of the filter will only let through a small part of the noise (whatever lies within the effective width of the peak), so that the output noise power will be proportional to the bandwidth parameter α – it can be shown that $\sigma_{y_v}^2 / \sigma_v^2 \approx T\alpha/2$. Thus, the smaller the α , the more noise reduction. On the other hand, as can be seen from Eq. (5), the smaller the α , the longer the effective time constant $t_{\text{eff}} = 2/\alpha$ of the filter, resulting in longer transients. In this lab, you will study this tradeoff between noise reduction and speed of response.

- Start with the values $\omega_0 = 5$ and $\alpha = 1$. Plot the magnitude response squared $|H(\omega)|^2$ versus ω in the interval $0 \leq \omega \leq 10$. Then, plot the phase response $\text{Arg}[H(\omega)]$ versus the same values of ω .
- Generate 2001 equally-spaced noisy sinusoidal samples of $f(t)$ in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 40$, e.g., using the code:

```
w0 = 5; a = 1;
t = linspace(0,40,2001);
seed = 10000; randn('state',seed); % initialize random number generator
v = randn(size(t)); % generate white gaussian noise samples
f = sin(w0*t) + v; % noisy sinusoid
```

Compute the filter output samples $y(t)$ using the function `lsim`,

$$y = \text{lsim}(\text{tf}(\text{num}, \text{den}), f, t, [0;0], 'zoh');$$

where this syntax, as opposed to $y = \text{lsim}(\text{num}, \text{den}, f, t)$, forces the use of the zero-order-hold method of integration.

On two separate graphs, plot $f(t)$ and $y(t)$ versus t . Observe the initial transients and the steady-state output (it's not quite equal to the sinusoid because a small amount of noise survives the filtering process.)

- To observe what happens to the noise itself, filter the noise signal $v(t)$ through this filter to obtain the filtered noise $y_v(t)$. On two separate graphs, but using the same vertical scales, plot the signals $v(t)$ and $y_v(t)$ versus t .
- Repeat parts (a-c) for the values $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1/10$, discussing the tradeoffs between noise reduction, speed of response, and quality of resulting desired signal.
- The zero-order-hold method implemented by the function `lsim` is equivalent to replacing the continuous-time transfer function $H(s)$

of Eq. (3) by the following discrete-time transfer function and corresponding input/output equation:

$$H(z) = \frac{Gz^{-1}(1 - z^{-1})}{1 + a_1z^{-1} + a_2z^{-2}} \quad (6)$$

$$y_n + a_1y_{n-1} + a_2y_{n-2} = G(f_{n-1} - f_{n-2})$$

with coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{\alpha}{\omega_r} e^{-\alpha T/2} \sin(\omega_r T) \\ a_1 &= -2e^{-\alpha T/2} \cos(\omega_r T) \\ a_2 &= e^{-\alpha T} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We will derive this result in class later. For the values $\omega_0 = 5$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $T = t(2) - t(1)$, compute the output samples $y_n = y(t_n)$ for the input samples $f_n = f(t_n)$ by writing a repetitive loop that solves the difference equation (6) (as was done in Lab-2), for example:

```
initialize  $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ , then,
for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  do:
   $w_0 = f_n - a_1w_1 - a_2w_2$ 
   $y_n = G(w_1 - w_2)$ 
   $w_2 = w_1$ 
   $w_1 = w_0$ 
```

Plot $y(t_n)$ and compare it with that obtained using `lsim` in part (b). You may check the output of your loop by comparing it with the output of the function `filter`.

Typical Outputs

